
ASTM E 90-09: Laboratory Measurement of Airborne Sound Transmission of **Building Partitions and Elements**



TEST

Client: **Acoustical Surfaces,**

Inc.

Report Date: May 25, 2011

Test Date: **September 29, 2010**

Test Number: OL10-0941

RESULT SUMMARY

STC=33

CLIENT **ADDRESS**

Acoustical Surfaces, Inc.

123 Columbia Court North, Suite 201

Chaska, MN

Phone: (800) 448-0121

ACCREDITATION

For the scope of accreditation under NVLAP code 200248-0

PREPARED BY

David M. Berg

Orfield Laboratories, Inc. 2709 East 25th Street Minneapolis MN 55406 Voice (612) 721-2455

FAX (612) 721-2457

e-mail dave@orfieldlabs.com

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

David M. Berg Laboratory Manager Michael R. Role

Signatures are required on this document for an official laboratory test report. Copies of this document without signatures are for reference only.











$\texttt{T} \;\; \texttt{E} \;\; \texttt{S} \;\; \texttt{T} \quad \mathsf{R} \;\; \texttt{E} \;\; \texttt{P} \;\; \texttt{O} \;\; \texttt{R} \;\; \texttt{T}$

Project Sound Transmission Loss Testing

Client Acoustical Surfaces, Inc.

of 7

Orfield Laboratories Inc

Test OL 10-0941


Test Date Specimen September 29, 2010 Fixed Window

Method

ASTM Standard E90

Technician D. Berg

Single Number Rating STC = 33 OITC = 28



Assembly Elements (listed in order from source room side to receiver room side)
Fixed Aluminum Commercial Window
37.5" x 48" x 4.5



NVLAP Lab Code 200248-0

Test OL 10-0941



SPECIMEN DESCRIPTION

The specimen under test was one fixed window assembly. Additional information regarding the specimen may be found in the appendices.

Test results pertain to this specimen only.

INSTALLATION AND DISPOSITION

The filler wall was constructed for this test on September 24, 2010. Independent contractors fabricated the filler wall and test sample frame. Representatives of the client installed and sealed the window in the specimen opening. The installed window installation was retained for subsequent tests in this series. Qualified representatives of Orfield Laboratories observed the installation progress, and visually inspected the specimen and seals prior to testing.

TEST METHODS

The methods followed these published standards:

ASTM E90-09*: Standard Test Method for Laboratory Measurement of Airborne Sound Transmission Loss of Building Partitions and Elements

ASTM E413-10: Classification for Rating Sound Insulation

The values presented in this report are from single-direction transmission loss measurements.

* Orfield Laboratories, Inc. has been accredited by the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) under their National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) for this test procedure. This report shall not be used to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the U.S. Government.

CONFIDENTIALITY

The client has full control over this information and any release of information will be only to the client. The specific testing results are deemed to be confidential exclusively for the client's use. Reproduction of this report, except in full, is prohibited.



Project Sound Transmission Loss Testing

Client Acoustical Surfaces, Inc. of 7

Test OL 10-0941



APPENDIX A: MEASUREMENT SETUP

Environment

Temperature 68°F [20.0°C] Relative Humidity 55%

Specimen Area

Specimen Area	12.5 ft ² [1.16 m ²]
Filler Wall Area	52.0 ft ² [4.83 m ²]
Composite Wall Area	64.5 ft ² [5.99 m ²]

Chamber Volume - Airborne Transmission

 Source Room Volume
 3284 ft³ [93.0 m³]

 Receiving Room Volume
 8079 ft³ [228.8 m³]

INSTRUMENTATION

Description		Brand	Model	S/N		
	Calibrator	Brüel & Kjær	Type 4230	1379712		
	Microphone	Brüel & Kjær	Type 4134	1478843		
	Preamplifier	Brüel & Kjær	Type 2639	1202479		
	Microphone	Brüel & Kjær	Type 4134	558007		
	Preamplifier	Brüel & Kjær	Type 2639	1312147		
	Power Supply	Brüel & Kjær	Type WB1057	n/a		
	Analyzer	Norsonic	Type 121	31185		



Project Sound Transmission Loss Testing

Client Acoustical Surfaces, Inc.

of 7

Orfield Laboratories Inc

Test OL 10-0941

APPENDIX B: CALCULATION RESULTS

Freq. Band (Hz)	Specimen T.L. (dB)	95% Conf. (dB)	Flanking Limit (dB)	STC Defic. (dB)	R _w Defic. (dB)	OITC Level (dBA)
25						
31.5	19.5 ‡		40			
40	20.0 ‡		47			
50	25.4 ‡		43			
63	28.4 ‡		43			
80	21.8 ‡	±1.63	42			59
100	18.7	±1.15	45		-	64
125	20.6	±0.95	46	-	-	64
160	24.2	±1.27	52	-	-	61
200	19.5	±1.24	53	3	3.5	66
250	23.7	±0.65	56	2	2.3	62
315	27.7	±0.65	60	1	1.3	59
400	28.9	±0.62	61	3	3.1	59
500	31.1	±0.40	65	2	1.9	59
630	34.1	±0.50	66	-	-	55
800	34.8	±0.40	69	-	0.2	54
1000	37.6	±0.25	70	-	-	51
1250	37.9	±0.25	72	-	-	52
1600	36.6	±0.32	72	-	0.4	52
2000	32.4	±0.44	74	5	4.6	57
2500	31.9	±0.35	79	5	5.1	56
3150	35.3	±0.31	83	2	1.7	51
4000	41.5	±0.49		-		43
5000	45.9	±0.35				
6300	48.3					
8000	51.4					
10000						
Total deficiencies below STC contour (dB) 23						
STC contour [ASTM E413] 33						
Total deficiencies below R _w contour (dB) 24.1						
R _w contour [ISO 717/1] 33						
Indoor nois	Indoor noise level from reference spectrum (dBA) 72.3					
OITC level [ASTM E1332]						28

[‡] Correction included in calculation due to a portion of the sound transmitted by way of the filler wall. Sound transmission through the filler wall is within correction limits established in ASTM E90.

Note: 95% confidence intervals from room qualification data. Flanking Limit from chamber flanking study. Reference sample and repeatability data available upon request. Extended frequency results below 80Hz and above 5000Hz are for reference only.



[†] Actual transmission loss of specimen may be higher than measured at this frequency band. Sound transmission through the filler wall exceeds correction limits established in ASTM E90; therefore the result is "an estimate of the lower limit".

Test OL 10-0941

APPENDIX C: SPECIMEN ASSEMBLY DESCRIPTION

The following table shows the size and weight of the window assembly tested. Independent contractors constructed the filler wall and specimen opening. The client installed and sealed the window assembly in the laboratory test opening. A qualified representative of Orfield Laboratories observed the installation in process and visually inspected the completed specimen and seals. All materials were weighed prior to installation. Fastener weights are not included.

Overall Mass = 87.0 lb [39.5 kg] Overall Surface Density = 6.96 PSF [33.98 kg/m²]

	Mass	Surf. Dens.
Element	lb [kg]	PSF [kg/m²]
Fixed Aluminum Commercial Window	87.0 [39.5]	6.96 [33.98]

The test window was provided by the client. Other construction materials were acquired by the independent construction contractors through local construction material suppliers.

FILLER WALL

A double-stud filler wall was constructed. The filler wall contained three layers of 5/8" gypsum board at each surface and two layers of glass fiber batt insulation, one in each stud cavity. The sample test frame was pre-built into the wall and lined with 3 layers of 5/8" gypsum board. The outer two layers were laminated with damping compound. The sample frame was prevented from coupling the two stud walls by cutting around the perimeter. The resulting narrow gap with filled with non-hardening acoustic sealant. The finished filler wall had a surface density of roughly 19 lbs. per square foot and an STC rating of 69. Appropriate filler wall corrections were applied to the sample transmission loss calculations in accordance with ASTM E90-09 and are reflected in the data as indicated in Appendix B.

TEST SPECIMEN DESCRIPTION

The test specimen was one fixed aluminum commercial window, as used in glass curtain wall assemblies. The commercial window consisted of one, 1" insulating glass (IG) unit mounted in an aluminum frame. The outer dimensions of the commercial window assembly were 37.5" wide by 48" high by 4.5" thick. The commercial window was sealed in the test specimen opening with acoustic sealant filling the gap between the specimen and test opening as well as 5 mil foil tape over the sealed gap.



Project Sound Transmission Loss Testing

ent Acoustical Surfaces, Inc.

Test OL 10-0941



of 7

APPENDIX D: SINGLE-NUMBER CALCULATION TO ISO 717-1

Freq. Band	R_i $(R_i \equiv TL)$	Ref Curve	Unfav. Deviat.	L _{i1} Spectrum	<i>L_{i1} - R_i</i> Level	L _{i2} Spectrum	L _{i2} - R _i Level
(Hz)	(A; = 1L) (dB)	(dB)	(dB)	(dB)	(dB)	(dB)	(dB)
50	25.4	(ub)	(ub)	(ub)	(ub)	(ub)	(ub)
63	28.4						
80	21.8						
100	18.7	14		-29.0	-47.7	-20.0	-38.7
125	20.6	17	_	-25.0	- 4 7.7	-20.0	-40.6
160	24.2	20	_	-20.0	- 4 0.0	-18.0	- 4 0.0 -42.2
200	19.5	23	3.5	-23.0 -21.0	-47.2 -40.5	-18.0	-42.2
250	23.7	25 26	2.3	-21.0 -19.0	-40.5 -42.7	-15.0	-37.5 -38.7
315	27.7	29	1.3	-17.0	-44.7		-41.7
400	28.9	32	3.1	-15.0	-43.9	-13.0	-41.9
500	31.1	33	1.9	-13.0	-44.1	-12.0	-43.1
630	34.1	34	-	-12.0	-46.1	-11.0	-45.1
800	34.8	35	0.2	-11.0	-45.8	-9.0	-43.8
1000	37.6	36	-	-10.0	-47.6	-8.0	-45.6
1250	37.9	37	-	-9.0	-46.9	-9.0	-46.9
1600	36.6	37	0.4	-9.0	-45.6	-10.0	-46.6
2000	32.4	37	4.6	-9.0	-41.4	-11.0	-43.4
2500	31.9	37	5.1	-9.0	-40.9	-13.0	-44.9
3150	35.3	37	1.7	-9.0	-44.3	-15.0	-50.3
4000	41.5						
5000	45.9						
	•	Sum =	24.1	R _{A,1} =	32.1	R _{A,2} =	30.0
		$R_{W} =$	33	C =	-1	$C_{tr} =$	-3

Rw(C;Ctr) = 33(-1;-3)

Rw (C; Ctr; C50-3150; Ctr, 50-3150) = 33 (-1; -3; -1; -3)

Rw (C; Ctr; C100-5000; Ctr,100-5000) = 33 (-1; -3; 0; -3)

Rw (C; Ctr; C50-5000; Ctr, 50-5000) = 33 (-1; -3; 0; -3)

Note: The calculations in ISO 717-1 are performed based on assumed equivalency of the ASTM and the corresponding ISO test methods. The test herein is performed according to the ASTM standards. Orfield Laboratories does not hold accreditation for ISO 140 or ISO 717 under their NVLAP scope of accreditation.

The spectrum adaptation terms C and C_{tr} characterize performance against two specific sound sources, A-weighted pink noise and A-weighted traffic noise respectively. The standard ISO 717-1 includes a discussion of "Use of Spectrum Adaptation Terms" in Annex A (informative).

Each spectrum adaptation term may additionally be reported with extended frequency bands included. A calculation for the primary frequency range is shown above, but all available extended-frequency calculations were performed to compare against corresponding ratings of other specimens

